	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/575,557	BRIGHT, CHARLES EDWARD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SON DANG	3773
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>After Final Amendment</u>	
(1) <u>SON DANG</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David Driscoll</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 September 2010	Time: <u>2:20 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Examiner Amendment		
Claims discussed: The title of the application		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner proposed to change the title of the application from "Applicator" to "A visual indication of a trigger intermediate position on an applicator" for more descriptive of what the invention actually is. The applicant agreed. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/SON DANG/ Examiner, Art Unit 3773	(Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)